

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/667,048	09/22/2003		Barry N. Jackson	RWHI-0005	7848
20558	7590	05/13/2004		EXAMINER	
KONNEKE	ER & SM	ITH P. C.	CAMPBELL, THOR S		
660 NORTH CENTRAL EXPRESSWAY SUITE 230			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DI ANO TY 75074			3742		

DATE MAILED: 05/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/667,048	JACKSON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thor S. Campbell	3742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of 16 NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period where the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ∑ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	·		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	63 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 September 2003</u> is/a		ted to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori			
application from the International Bureau	•	3	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.	
•••			
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary ((DTO 412)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>09/22/03</u> .	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lesage (US 4948948) in view of Bradenbaugh (US 6633726).

Lesage discloses a water heater comprising a tank adapted to store therein a quantity of water to be heated, said tank having a plurality of contiguous vertical zones of unequal volumes and vertically spaced plurality of unequal wattage electrical heating elements individuall controllable and extending into said tank, each electrical heating structure serving a different one of said zones. Lesage does not describe arranging the heating elements such that said zones are provided with substantially equal heating wattage densities.

Bradenbaugh discloses a water heater comprising multiple heating elements, individually controllable, corresponding to different zones of heating within the tank and further that regardless of the exact control circuitry used, or whether a single controller or multiple controllers are implemented, the heating elements are activated so that heat energy being transferred to the tank is distributed in a balanced or uniform manner. Whatever specific sequencing is employed, the controller helps to avoid uneven heating of the water in the tank. Uneven heating generally occurs in conventional heating systems where the bulk of water heating is accomplished with a heating element positioned near the bottom of the heater tank.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,048

Art Unit: 3742

This configuration often results in the creation of "stacking," where water that is heated rises to the top of the tank and becomes super-heated, while non-uniform temperature strata are formed in the lower portion of the tank. To make matters worse, the heat accumulation at the top of the tank tends to rapidly dissipate because the insulation 13 in the tank cannot effectively retain the high energy heat from the super heated water. By reducing the occurrence of hot or cold spots in the strata from the top to the bottom of the tank, the creation of super heated water is reduced and efficiency is increased."

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, in view of the teachings of Bradenbaugh, to modify the device of Lesage to use a plurality of unequal wattage heating elements corresponding to different zones within the tank while maintaining the desired even heating, i.e. equal heating wattage density, by providing the heating elements in a fashion that the top heating element of Lesage having the higher power rating, heats a greater volume than the other lower power rated heating elements.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thor S. Campbell whose telephone number is 703-306-9042. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fri 5:30AM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise Pothier can be reached on 703-308-0265. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TSC 5/12/04

JAPOH CAMPBELL JAPOH CAMPBELL